Hardi S.Pd., M.Pd <hardi.fit@iain-surakarta.ac.id>

Fwd:
1 pesan

heri nurdiyanto <herinurdiyanto@gmail.com>   20 Februari 2023 pukul 08.21
Kepada: hardi.fit@iain-surakarta.ac.id
Cc: andes.asmara@ubpkarawang.ac.id

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **heri nurdiyanto** <herinurdiyanto@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 20, 2021 at 11:06 PM
Subject:
To: Heri Nurdiyanto <internationaljournalair@gmail.com>

Dear Hardi, A S Asmara :

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Tojqi Journal , " An Analysis of
Mathematical Connection Ability of Madrasah Ibtidaiyah (MI) Teachers as Seen from Teaching Style ".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Dr. Syed Murtaza Alfarid Hussain
Abdullah Kuzu, Turkey

Reviewer:

Significance: - How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as
opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offer to advance the state of the art? - Does
it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines? - Does it have
interesting implications for multiple disciplines?*:
Good

Originality: - Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue? - Is this a novel
combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches? - Does the paper point out differences in
related research? - Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work? **:
Good

Quality: - Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up? - Does it carefully evaluate
the strengths and limitations of its contribution?*:
Good

Clarity: - Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the
methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any? - Are the
results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly? - Is the paper organized in a sensible and
logical fashion? **:
Good

Relevance: - Is the paper closely related to the theme of the journal (broadly conceived)? - Is the
content interesting enough to a broad audience? - Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary
context **:
Good

Comments on the minor details of the article:
Other general comments on key issues:
1. Data collection methods are not clearly described
2. Some parts are not in accordance with the template
3. Detailed comments are in the paper file